



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/965,863	10/01/2001	Toshio Kimura	213483US8	4054
22850	7590 12/04/2003		EXAMINER	
OBLON, S	PIVAK, MCCLELLANI	DAVIE, JAMES W		
1940 DUKE	E STREET DRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXA (ND)	TILLY TIL WASTI		2828	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				(A)			
		Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	•			
		09/965,863	KIMURA ET AL.	C# pa= − ++			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		James W Davie	2828				
Peri	 The MAILING DATE of this communication ap dfrR ply 	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	ldress			
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIFIED FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin bly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	September 2003 .	•				
2a	a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disp sition of Claims							
4	1) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application	n. ·	•				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-19 is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6	S) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.	•					
7	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8	B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Appl	lication Papers						
9	D) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 October 2001</u> is/are	: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to l	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	ved by the Examin	er.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.					
12	P) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.					
Prior	rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•					
13	B)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applicati	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage			
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	·		application).			
	_a)	ovisional application has been rec	eived.				
) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest hment(s)	iic priority under 35 0.5.C. 99 120	and/or (21.				
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview Summary	(PTO-412) Pagas Na	(6)			
2) 🔲	Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		r (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claims 13-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Application/Control Number: 09/965,863

Art Unit: 2828

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ackerman et al (5,022,930) in view of applicants' acknowledged prior art 1, as set forth in the specification on page 5 lines 14-24, where the inclusion of low heat conduction gas is taught to be conventional.

In Figures 2 and 4, Ackerman et al teach a hermetically sealed package that has a vent member (72) extending from the interior space to the exterior of the package, wherein, when unsealed, said vent member being configured to transport a gas between the interior space and the exterior, and when sealed, said vent member being configured to prevent a flow of gas between the interior space and the exterior.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the package structure of the acknowledged prior art to include a vent member as is taught by Ackerman et al. The modification of the acknowledged prior art to include the conduit (71) of Ackerman would allow for the entry or egress of gas which purges the spacing and allow for easy sealing as is taught by Ackerman in col. 10 lines 1-5.

6. Claims 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ackerman et al (5,022,930) in view of applicants' acknowledged prior art 1 as applied to claim 1 and further in view of the following. Preferred configuration of the vent member would have been within the ambit of ordinary skill in the art.

7. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ackerman et al (5,022,930) in view of applicants' acknowledged prior art 1 as applied to claim 1 and further in view of the following. Selection of conventional gas introduction devices and operation of the devices in a preferred sequence would have been in the ambit of ordinary skill in the art art the time of the invention. No unexpected results are seen to accrue.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James W Davie whose telephone number is (703) 308-4847. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

James W Davie Primary Examiner Art Unit 2828

jd